

Environmental Product Declaration

as per ISO 14025 and EN 15804

Owner of the declaration:	EEW Malaysia
Publisher:	Kiwa-Ecobility Experts
Programme operator:	Kiwa-Ecobility Experts
Registration number:	EPD-Kiwa-EE-000409-EN
Issue date:	25.09.2024
Valid to:	25.09.2029







1. General information

EEW Malaysia

Programme operator

Kiwa GmbH, Ecobility Experts Wattstraße 11-13 Haus 1, 3. OG, TH 1 13355 Berlin Germany

Registration number

EPD-Kiwa-EE-000409-EN

This declaration is based on the Product Category Rules

PCR A – General Program Category Rules for Construction Products, Kiwa-Ecobility Experts, Berlin, 2022.

PCR B – construction steel products (Edition 2020-03-13 (draft))

Issue date

25.09.2024

Valid to

25.09.2029

Raoul Mancke

(Head of programme operations, Kiwa-Ecobility Experts)

Kripanshi Gupta

(Verification body, Kiwa-Ecobility Experts)

Structural pipes

Owner of the declaration

EEW Malaysia PLO 109, Jalan Tengar Tanjung Langsat Industrial Complex 81700 Pasir Gudang Malaysia

Declared product / declared unit

1 metric ton Structural pipes

Scope

The structural pipes are ready-to-install and individually pre-fabricated single pipes used in oil & gas pipelines. They are produced and distributed by EEW Malaysia, located in Pasir Gudang, Malaysia. This EPD has global geographic validity.

The EPD refers to the specific Product.

EPD type: Cradle to gate with modules C1-C4, and module D.

Kiwa-Ecobility Experts assumes no liability for manufacturer's information, LCA data and evidence.

Verification

The European standard EN 15804+A2:2019 serves as the core PCR.

Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to EN ISO 14025:2010.

□internal

⊠external

Anne Kees Jeeninga (Third party verifier)





2. Product

2.1 Product description

Structural pipes from EEW Malaysia are ready-to-install and individually prefabricated single pipes and can also be joint into sets of piles (subject to customer request).

2.2 Application

The structural pipes are used in offshore wind or offshore oil & gas platforms.

2.3 Reference Service Life (RSL)

The service life of the construction will limit the lifetime of the structural pipes, which is 30 years.

2.4 Technical data

The technical data is listed in the table below. The values for the unit weight depend on the product type and its corresponding tensile strength. For this reason, only the value ranges for the structural pipes are given here.

Table 1: Technical Data of structural pipes- EEW Malaysia

Characteristic	Value/Tolerance	Unit
Diameter range	406 – 3000	mm
Length range	2086 – 12040	mm
Wall thickness range	9.5 - 75	mm
Weight Range	500 – 100000	kg
Tensile strength	490 – 630	MPa
Yield strength	275 – 345	MPa

2.5 Substances of very high concern

The product does not contain substances from the "Candidate list of substances of very high concern for authorisation" (SVHC).

2.6 Base materials / Ancillary materials

EEW Malaysia structural pipes are manufactured from heavy plates.

Table 2: Composition of structural pipes- EEW Malaysia

Raw material	value	unit
Heavy plates:	99.8	%
S275, S355, S420 and S460 grades according to EN 10225, EN 10025, and API		
2W standards, with variations such as Z35, +M (thermomechanical rolled),		
and +AR (normalized) conditions (e.g., S355G7+M, S355G10+M, EH36Z35,		
ABEH36Z35, API 2W GR.50, GR.60).		
Welding rod	0.2	%

There is no biogenic carbon in the products.





2.7 Manufacturing

The manufacturing is located at at Tanjung Langsat Industrial Complex, Johor, Malaysia. The production of the structural pipes comprises the following process steps and is shown in the following figure:

- 1. Incoming goods unload sheet metal, incoming goods inspection; Sheet storage
- 2. Weld seam preparation milling or cutting sheet edge
- 3. Forming sheet: using JCO method to form a raw pipe preform
- 4. Post bending: closing the gap of the pipe to get good ovality shape
- 5. Tack welding: Closing the open ends of the pipe blank with a tack weld
- 6. Welding inside weld seam: UP Internal welding of the pipe
- 7. Milling tack weld: Milling of the outer tacking seam
- 8. Welding outer weld seam: UP external welding of the pipe
- 9. Expanded the pipe to get the required ovality
- 10. Calibrate pipe: Calibration of the finished welded pipe
- 11. Hydro testing the finished welded pipe (subject to client requirement)
- 12. None destructive testing
- 13. Final inspection and marking
- 14. Pipe storage; Loading for shipment

There is no packaging used for this product. Only load securing - square timbers, wooden wedges, rubber mats, and tension belts are used.

2.8 Other Information

For further information on structural pipes, please visit the official EEW Malaysia webpage under the following link:

(https://eew-group.com/de/unternehmen/unsere-standorte/eew-malaysia-pasir-gudang/)








Figure 1: Process flow chart of the production of structural pipes-EEW Malaysia





3. LCA: Calculation rules

3.1 Declared unit

In accordance with the PCR B – construction steel products (Edition 2020-03-13 (draft)), one metric ton of the structural pipes is chosen as the declared unit.

3.2 Conversion factors

Table 3: Conversion Factor

Product	Unit	Value
Declared Unit	metric ton of structural pipes	1
Conversion factor to 1 kg	-	0.001

3.3 Scope of declaration and system boundaries

This EPD assesses all potential environmental impacts of the product from cradle to factory gate, with addi-tional options. In addition to the production stages (modules A1-A3), the EPD also includes transport to the customer (module A4), installation (module A5), end-of-life stages (modules C1-C4), and the bene-fits and loads beyond the sys-tem boundaries (module D).

The manufacturing phase includes the production or extraction of the source materials, the transport to the respective production plant, and the production of the structural pipes. All inputs (raw materials, precursors, energy, and auxiliary materials), as well as the by-products and waste, are considered for all life cycle phases.

The year 2022 represents the time reference for raw materials and electricity consumption. By defining the scenarios (transport from the production site and end-of-life scenarios) according to specifications of the Dutch Environmental Database (Nationale Milieudatabase (NMD)), the Netherlands is the relevant geographical reference for this EPD. Environmental effects such as the greenhouse effect can occur with a substantial spatial and temporal offset.

All benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (Module D) resulting from reusable products, recyclable materials and/or useful energy carriers leaving the product system are considered in this LCA.

The following production steps are considered during the production phase:

Table 4: Description of the system boundary

Pro	oduct :	stage	Constr				U	se stag	ge			End of life stage			Benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	
Raw material supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport from manu-facturer to place of use	Construction/ins tallation process	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishmen	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction / demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse-Recovery- Recycling-potential
A1	A2	А3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	В4	В5	В6	В7	C1	C2	С3	C4	D
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

The waste materials and quantities produced are included in the respective modules.





3.4 Geographical reference area

The structural pipes are marketed worldwide. After the final inspection, the pipes are ready to be shipped. No average transport distances could be determined, as the logistics are sometimes organized by the customer and sometimes by EEW Malaysia. A frequent shipment is transported by truck to Pasir Gudang port and distributed worldwide.

3.5 Cut-off criteria

All flows that influence is higher than 1% on the total mass, energy, or environmental impact are included in the LCA. All process-specific data could be determined and modelled by generic data (Ecoinvent 3.6).

3.6 Allocation

Structural pipes account for 30 % of total EEW Malaysia output by mass. As a result, all supplier contributions, energy usage, and additional materials were allocated proportionally according to the mass ratio.

During the production of the structural pipes, no co-products are generated.

3.7 Data collection and reference time period

For all processes, primary data was collected and provided by EEW Malaysia. The primary data refers to the year 2022.

Secondary data were taken from the Ecoinvent 3.6 database, released in 2019. The database is regularly checked and thus complies with the requirements of ISO 14040/44 (background data not older than 10 years). The background data meets the requirements of EN 15804.

The quantities of raw materials, consumables and supplies used as well as the energy consumption have been recorded and averaged over the entire year of operation.

R<THINK EPD web application from the company NIBE was used to model the life cycle for the production and disposal of the declared product systems. To ensure that the results are comparable, consistent background data from the international database Ecoinvent was used in the LCA (e.g., data records on energy, transport, auxiliary materials, and supplies). Almost all consistent data sets contained in the Ecoinvent database are documented and can be viewed online.

The general rule that specific data from certain production processes or average data derived from certain processes must have priority when calculating an EPD or LCA was observed. Data for processes over which the manufacturer has no influence were assigned to generic data.

3.8 Estimates and assumptions

Transport distances for all raw materials (raw materials, operating materials, packaging) could be recorded. A payload factor of 50% was used for all truck transports (suppliers, disposal transports, and internal transports), which corresponds to a full delivery and empty return trip. A data set for a non-specific truck was used.

The energy and material consumptions are average values and refer to the year 2022.

The EEW Malaysia site also processes other steel products. Measured in terms of output, structural pipes account for 30% of mass production.

The total amount of waste products generated, including slag, dust, and scrap, was reported at 26.7 kg per ton of product.

The proportions of the waste products are used as a reference value for calculating the raw material production waste amount.

$$p_{production \ waste \ amount \ (\%)} = \frac{m_{waste,raw \ material}}{m_{raw \ material}}$$





As a result, in the following report, overall 2.6 %, of the raw material is considered waste during production.

The structural pipes are marketed worldwide. Precise transport distances vary, as logistics are managed either by the customer or EEW Malaysia. For transportation to the construction site (module A4), a common route involves shipping via truck to Pasir Gudang port, covering an estimated distance of 10 km. From Pasir Gudang port, distribution spans across the world.

EEW is not responsible for installing the structural pipes, so a fictive installation scenario (A5) was assumed based on literature. This scenario includes the use of a self-propelled jack-up barge, 600 tons, with two engines consuming 310 liters/hr (while in operation) for a full day (24 hr), along with one generator consuming 88 liters/hr (while on standby) for 12 hours.

No CO₂ certificates were considered.

3.9 Power mix

The electricity profile was selected using a local-based approach, aligned with the geographical reference area (Malaysia), as defined in Ecoinvent 3.6. The electricity it uses has a Global Warming Potential (GWP-total) of 0.8468 kg CO₂e per kilowatt-hour (kWh).

3.10 Comparability

In principle, a comparison or assessment of the environmental impacts of different products is only possible if they have been prepared in accordance with EN 15804. For the evaluation of the comparability, the following aspects have to be considered in particular: PCR used, functional or declared unit, geographical reference, the definition of the system boundary, declared modules, data selection (primary or secondary data, background database, data quality), scenarios used for use and disposal phases, and the life cycle inventory (data collection, calculation methods, allocations, validity period). PCRs and general program instructions of different EPDs programs may differ. Comparability needs to be evaluated. For further guidance, see EN 15804+A2 (5.3 Comparability of EPD for construction products) and ISO 14025 (6.7.2 Requirements for comparability).





4. LCA: Scenarios and additional technical information

The distance to the construction site (module A4) was calculated according to the NMD (Nationale Milieu Database (Dutch National Environmental Database)) method. Accordingly, the distance between the pro-duction site in EEW Malaysia and Pasir Gudang port was considered (10 km) by truck. Due to a high life expectancy and the fact that EEW is not responsible for this, there is no company data available on the material recovery of installed structural pipes. However, since there is a high potential for recycling and reusing steel pipe, this solution remains an attractive possibility. So, it is assumed that removal will be performed in the same manner as installation, a self-propelled jack-up barge, 600 ton, two engines 310 liters/hr (steaming) for one day (24 hr) with one generator 88 liters/hr (standby) for 12 hr, with the addition of an oxy-fuel cutting operation are stated for module C1 (demolition).

For the calculation of end-of-life, the standard waste scenario for steel, permanent (100yr) sheet piles placed in soil/marine water was followed based on the "Dutch National Milieudatabase" (NMD ID 90). This defines the waste scenario as 63.2% recycling and 36.8% to be left.

Note: The transport distances for waste are based on the standard waste scenarios outlined in the NMD Determination Method (SBK 2019). The distances represent the distance to the respective facilities: 150 km to an incineration plant, 50 km to a recycling facility, and 100 km to a landfill site. The transportation method used is a truck (unspecific). For energy recovery, it is assumed that only fossil raw materials are substituted, considering the calorific values of the raw materials of the declared product and energy and thermal efficiencies of 18% and 32%. According to EN 15804, loads are credited in A3 or C3 to C4, and benefits are credited in module D.

For all road transports, the environmental profile of a non-specific truck transport was used (conservative assumption): The vehicle operates with diesel and provides a fleet average that includes different lorry classes and EURO classes. This environmental profile contains data for transport, which is calculated for an average load factor, including empty return trips (Ecoinvent 3.6).





5. LCA: Results

5.1 Results of the LCA – Environmental Impact

The following tables show the results of the impact assessment indicators, resource use, waste, and other output streams. The results presented here refer to the declared specific product.

Disclaimer on ADP-e, ADP-f, WDP, ETP-fw, HTP-c, HTP-nc, SQP: The results of these environmental impact indicators must be used with caution, as the uncertainties in these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator.

Disclaimer on IR: This impact category mainly addresses the potential effect of low-dose ionizing radiation on human health in the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents and occupational exposures, nor does it consider radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from soil, radon, and some building materials are also not measured by this indicator.





Table 5: Results

Parameter	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	C1	C2	C3	C4	D	Total A1-A3
Core environmental impact indicators												
ADP-mm	kg Sb-eqv.	7.16E-02	1.98E-04	2.63E-03	3.41E-05	1.34E-04	1.37E-04	1.08E-04	0.00E+00	1.74E-05	-5.43E-04	7.44E-02
ADP-f	MJ	4.45E+04	3.05E+02	3.75E+03	2.03E+01	1.20E+03	1.21E+03	6.42E+01	0.00E+00	5.30E+01	-5.62E+03	4.86E+04
AP	mol H+ eqv.	2.17E+01	7.37E-01	1.58E+00	7.81E-03	9.11E-01	9.14E-01	2.47E-02	0.00E+00	1.80E-02	-3.10E+00	2.40E+01
EP-fw	kg PO₄ eqv.	2.53E-01	1.04E-04	1.73E-02	1.36E-05	3.17E-04	3.79E-04	4.29E-05	0.00E+00	2.12E-05	-2.84E-02	2.70E-01
EP-m	kg N eqv.	4.36E+00	1.82E-01	2.56E-01	2.75E-03	4.02E-01	4.02E-01	8.70E-03	0.00E+00	6.19E-03	-5.75E-01	4.79E+00
EP-t	mol N eqv.	4.83E+01	2.02E+00	2.83E+00	3.03E-02	4.41E+00	4.42E+00	9.59E-02	0.00E+00	6.84E-02	-6.72E+00	5.32E+01
GWP-b	kg CO₂ eqv.	-2.51E+00	-6.23E-03	1.11E+00	6.22E-04	2.42E-02	4.20E-02	1.96E-03	0.00E+00	3.74E-03	8.37E+00	-1.40E+00
GWP-f	kg CO₂ eqv.	4.25E+03	2.37E+01	3.10E+02	1.35E+00	8.71E+01	8.77E+01	4.26E+00	0.00E+00	1.90E+00	-8.05E+02	4.59E+03
GWP-luluc	kg CO₂ eqv.	2.29E+00	1.60E-02	9.70E-01	4.93E-04	6.86E-03	8.22E-03	1.56E-03	0.00E+00	5.29E-04	5.93E-01	3.28E+00
GWP-total	kg CO₂ eqv.	4.25E+03	2.37E+01	3.12E+02	1.35E+00	8.71E+01	8.77E+01	4.26E+00	0.00E+00	1.90E+00	-7.96E+02	4.59E+03
ODP	kg CFC 11 eqv.	2.19E-04	4.77E-06	1.35E-05	2.97E-07	1.88E-05	1.89E-05	9.39E-07	0.00E+00	7.81E-07	-1.97E-05	2.38E-04
POCP	kg NMVOC eqv.	2.07E+01	5.24E-01	8.71E-01	8.66E-03	1.21E+00	1.21E+00	2.74E-02	0.00E+00	1.98E-02	-4.57E+00	2.21E+01
WDP	m³ world eqv.	1.03E+03	4.85E-01	1.10E+02	7.27E-02	1.61E+00	2.62E+00	2.30E-01	0.00E+00	2.38E+00	-1.53E+02	1.14E+03
					Additional en	vironmental ir	npact indicato	rs				
ETP-fw	CTUe	2.00E+05	2.01E+02	7.11E+03	1.81E+01	7.22E+02	7.30E+02	5.72E+01	0.00E+00	3.44E+01	-2.70E+04	2.07E+05
HTP-c	CTUh	3.52E-05	1.32E-08	9.47E-07	5.87E-10	2.52E-08	2.54E-08	1.86E-09	0.00E+00	7.96E-10	-1.04E-07	3.62E-05
HTP-nc	CTUh	2.70E-04	1.66E-07	1.58E-05	1.98E-08	6.20E-07	6.26E-07	6.26E-08	0.00E+00	2.44E-08	1.58E-04	2.86E-04
IRP	kBq U235 eqv.	8.63E+01	1.31E+00	6.65E+00	8.51E-02	5.14E+00	5.24E+00	2.69E-01	0.00E+00	2.17E-01	1.38E+01	9.43E+01
PM	disease incidence	3.79E-04	8.00E-07	1.28E-05	1.21E-07	2.41E-05	2.41E-05	3.83E-07	0.00E+00	3.50E-07	-4.66E-05	3.93E-04
SQP	-	1.51E+04	5.47E+01	7.29E+02	1.76E+01	1.53E+02	1.56E+02	5.57E+01	0.00E+00	1.11E+02	-1.24E+03	1.59E+04

ADP-mm= Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources | ADP-f=Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential | AP= Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance | EP-fw = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment | EP-m= Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment | EP-T= Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance | GWP-b=Global Warming Potential biogenic | GWP-f=Global Warming Potential fossil fuels | GWP-luluc=Global Warming Potential land use and land use change | GWP-total=Global Warming Potential total | ODP=Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer | POCP=Formation potential of tropospheric ozone | WDP=Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption | ETP-fw=Potential Comparative Toxic Unit for ecosystems | HTP-c=Potential Toxic Unit for Humans toxicity, cancer | HTP-nc= Potential Toxic Unit for humans, non-cancer | IRP=Potential Human exposure efficiency relative to U235, human health | PM=Potential incidence of disease due to Particulate Matter emissions | SQP=Potential soil quality index





Table 5: Results (continued)

Parameter	Unit	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	C1	C2	C3	C4	D	Total A1-A3
PERE	MJ	4.21E+03	2.16E+00	3.24E+02	2.54E-01	6.48E+00	8.54E+00	8.04E-01	0.00E+00	4.28E-01	1.63E+02	4.53E+03
PERM	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
PERT	MJ	4.21E+03	2.16E+00	3.24E+02	2.54E-01	6.48E+00	8.54E+00	8.04E-01	0.00E+00	4.28E-01	1.63E+02	4.53E+03
PENRE	MJ	4.72E+04	3.24E+02	3.97E+03	2.16E+01	1.27E+03	1.28E+03	6.81E+01	0.00E+00	5.63E+01	-5.83E+03	5.15E+04
PENRM	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.34E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.76E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	4.34E+01
PENRT	MJ	4.72E+04	3.24E+02	4.01E+03	2.16E+01	1.27E+03	1.29E+03	6.81E+01	0.00E+00	5.63E+01	-5.83E+03	5.15E+04
SM	Kg	1.06E+02	0.00E+00	2.79E+00	0.00E+00	1.09E+02						
RSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
NRSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
FW	m³	3.07E+01	1.72E-02	3.55E+00	2.47E-03	6.17E-02	9.23E-02	7.82E-03	0.00E+00	5.65E-02	-2.91E+00	3.43E+01
HWD	Kg	2.67E-01	3.09E-04	3.84E-03	5.15E-05	3.26E-03	3.27E-03	1.63E-04	0.00E+00	7.92E-05	-9.66E-02	2.71E-01
NHWD	Kg	1.73E+03	1.84E+00	5.87E+01	1.29E+00	1.42E+00	1.46E+00	4.07E+00	0.00E+00	3.60E+02	-7.87E+01	1.79E+03
RWD	Kg	8.54E-02	2.12E-03	5.50E-03	1.33E-04	8.32E-03	8.41E-03	4.21E-04	0.00E+00	3.48E-04	4.74E-03	9.30E-02
CRU	Kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.31E+00	0.00E+00	1.31E+00						
MFR	Kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.69E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.32E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.69E+01
MER	Kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
EE	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
EET	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									
EEE	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00									

PERE=Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials | PERM= Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials | PERT=Total use of renewable primary energy resources | PENRE= Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials | PENRM= Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials | PENRT= Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources | SM=Use of secondary material | RSF=Use of renewable secondary fuels | NRSF=Use of non-renewable secondary fuels | FW=Use of fresh water | HWD=Hazardous waste disposed | NHWD=Non-hazardous waste disposed | RWD=Radioactive waste disposed | CRU=Components for reuse | MFR=Materials for recycling | MER=Materials for energy recovery | EE=Exported energy | EET= Exported Energy Thermic | EEE= Exported Energy Electric

Table 6: Biogenic Carbon Content

LCA results- information on biogenic carbon content:1 ton structural pipes / (EN15804+A2)						
Parameters	Unit	Value				
Biogenic carbon content in the product	kg C	0				
Biogenic carbon content in the associated packaging	kg C	0				
Note: 1 kg of biogenic carbon corresponds to 44/12 kg of CO2						





5.2 Calculation of the MKI value (Dutch: Milieukostenindicator; English: Environmental Cost Indicator, ECI)

The results are aggregated to a single-point score using the shadow price method, which is presented in the SBK Determination Method (2009) and NMD Environmental Performance Assessment Method for Construction (2022). The ECI is a suitable valuation method, especially in the Dutch construction sector. In the Netherlands, it is a prerequisite for public tenders. The indicator aims to show the shadow price for the environmental impacts of a product or project. The following weighting is used for aggregation:

Table 7: Weighting factors (for the environmental impact categories) (NMD 2022)

Impact	Equivalent Unit	Weighting [€/ Equivalent Unit]
Depletion of abiotic raw materials (excluding fossil energy carriers) - ADP	kg Sb eq.	0.16
Depletion of fossil energy carriers - ADP	kg Sb eq.	0.16
Global warming - GWP 100 years	kg CO2 Eq	0.05
Ozone layer depletion - ODP	kg CFC-11 Eq	30
Photochemical oxidant-formation - POCP	kg C2H4 eq	2
Acidification - AP	kg SO2 Eq	4
Eutrophication - EP	kg PO43- Eq	9
Human toxicity - HTP	1,4-DCB eq	0.09
Freshwater aquatic ecotoxicity - FAETP	1,4-DCB eq	0.03
Marine aquatic ecotoxicity - MAETP	1,4-DCB eq	0.0001
Terrestrial ecotoxicity - TETP	1,4-DCB eq	0.06

Due to its weighting, the impact category GWP has the greatest influence on the indicator score. The application of single-point scores is an additional assessment tool for eco-balance results. However, it must be pointed out that weightings are always based on value maintenance and not on a scientific basis (EN 14040). The ECI results are shown in the following tables.





Table 8: Results - MKI value (1-point evaluation) per 1 ton structural pipes

Module EN15804	ECI NL (€)per module	Share in total (%)
A1 Raw Materials Supply	1794.9	99.95
A2 Transport	5.5	0.31
A3 Manufacturing	64.9	3.62
A4 Transport from the gate to the site	0.16	0.01
A5 Construction - Installation process	11.54	0.64
C1 Demolition	11.65	0.64
C2 Transport	0.51	0.03
C3 Waste processing	0.00	0.00
C4 Final disposal	0.26	0.01
D Benefits and loads beyond the product system boundary	-93.6	-5.21
ECI NL per functional unit	1,795.9	

Note: Users of weighting factors should be aware that there is less consensus on weighting and weighting factors than, for example, on characterization factors and that the method is also subject to uncertainties (NMD 2022).





6. LCA: Interpretation

The following figure shows the impact categories for 1 ton of the structural pipes.

Structural pipes account for 30% of total EEW Malaysia output by mass and all supplier contributions, energy usage, and additional materials were allocated proportionally according to the 30% mass ratio. Subsequently, all input values (raw and ancillary materials, energy) were calculated considering 1 ton of product (structural pipes) as the reference point.

As shown in the Figure 2, module A1 (raw material supply) shows the most significant influence on environmental core indicators. This is primarily due to the use of heavy plate, which notably impacts the environmental life cycle of the product. In general, the structural pipes have the potential for recycling. As a result, D has a credit outside of the production system based on the waste scenario. Transports (A2, A4, C2) have rather a minor impact within all core indicators.




Figure 2: Structural pipes - Impact of the individual modules on the environmental core indicators (NMD set 1+2)





7. References

Ecoinvent Datenbank Version 3.6 (2019)

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 Sustainability of construction works — Environmental Product Declarations — Core rules for the product category of construction products

ISO 14040:2006, Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework

ISO 14044:2006, Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Requirements and guidelines

ISO 14025:2006: Environmental labels and declarations — Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures EN 13249

PCR A: Kiwa-Ecobility Experts, Berlin, 2022: PCR A – General Program Category Rules for Construction Prod-ucts from the EPD programme of Kiwa-Ecobility Experts; Version 2.1

PCR B: PCR B - Requirements on the Environmental Product Declarations for construction steel products (Edition 2020-03-13 (draft)

R<THiNK 2023; Online-EPD-Tool by NIBE B.V.

SimaPro Software,Industry data LCA library; website: https://simapro.com/databases/industry-data-lca-library

CML-IA April 2013 – Charakterisierungsfaktoren entwickelt durch Institut of Environmental Sciences (CML): Universität Leiden, Niederlande - http://www.cml.leiden.edu/software/data-cmlia.html

European Commission Joint Research Centre Institute for Prospective Technological Studies (JCR 2014): End-of-waste criteria for waste plastic for conversion, Seville, 2014, doi:10.2791/13033

Stichting Bouwkwaliteit (SBK 2019): Assessment Method - Environmental Performance Construction and Civil Engineering Works (GWW), Rijswijk, Version "3.0 January 2019" incl. amendments July 2019, Jan 2020

Stichting Bouwkwaliteit: verification protocol - inclusion data in the Dutch environmental database, Rijswijk, Final Version 3.0, January 2019

NMD STICHTING NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL DATABASE: Environmental Performance Assessment Method for Construction; 1.1 (March 2022); Rijswijk

Protocol EPD-online - 25011.16.03.015 - Protocol EPD online - NMD, version 1.2, November 2016, NIBE

self propelled jack-up barge, https://www.damentrading.com/-/media/Trading/For-Sale/Jack-up-barge/07613-Used-self-propelled-jack-up-barge/Downloads/07613_self_propelled_barge_damen_trading_01.pdf. adopted on 12.09.2022.

Livaniou, S., Iordanis, S., Anaxagorou, P., Mocanu, B., Sykes, R., Goormachtigh, J., ... & Antrobus, M. (2015). Logistic Efficiencies And Naval architecture for Wind Installations with Novel Developments. Tech. Rep. 614020, Leanwind.

Topham, Eva, David McMillan, Stuart Bradley, and Edward Hart. "Recycling offshore wind farms at decom-missioning stage." Energy policy 129 (2019): 698-709.

Molina, F.S., 2021. An LCA Review of Current Status and Future Trends of the Offshore Wind Industry. Uni-versity of California, Davis.

Gokhale, A.U., 2020. Assessment of recycling potential and circularity in decommissioning of offshore wind farms.





kiwa Ecobility Experts	Publisher Kiwa-Ecobility Experts Kiwa GmbH, Ecobility Experts Wattstraße 11-13 Haus 1, 3. OG, TH 1 13355 Berlin, Germany	Mail Web	DE.Ecobility.Experts@kiwa.com https://www.kiwa.com/de/de/t hemes/ecobility-experts/ecobil- ity-experts/
kiwa Ecobility Experts	Programme operator Kiwa-Ecobility Experts Kiwa GmbH, Ecobility Experts Wattstraße 11-13 Haus 1, 3. OG, TH 1 13355 Berlin, Germany	Mail Web	DE.Ecobility.Experts@kiwa.com https://www.kiwa.com/de/de/t hemes/ecobility-experts/ecobil- ity-experts/
kiwa Ecobility Experts	Author of the Life Cycle Assessment Kiwa GmbH, Ecobility Experts Wattstraße 11-13 Haus 1, 3. OG, TH 1 13355 Berlin, Germany	Tel. Fax Mail Web	+49 (0) 30 467761-43 +49 (0) 30 467761-10 <u>DE.Nachhaltigkeit@kiwa.com</u> <u>https://www.kiwa.com/</u>
EEWGROUP	Owner of the declaration EEW Malaysia PLO 109, Jalan Tengar, Tanjung Langsat Industrial Complex, 81700, Pasir Gudang Malaysia	Tel. Fax. Mail Web	(+607) 259 9333 (+607) 259 9222 info@eew.com.my EEW Malaysia - große Konstruk- tionsrohre und Rohrleitungen (eew-group.com)

Kiwa-Ecobility Experts is established member of the

